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\begin{abstract}
A globally effective vaccine strategy must cope with the broad genetic diversity of HIV and contend with multiple transmission modalities. Understanding correlates of protection and the role of diversity in limiting protective vaccines with those correlates is key. RV144 was the first HIV-1 vaccine trial to demonstrate efficacy against HIV-1 infection. A correlates analysis compared vaccine-induced immune responses in vaccinated-infected and vaccinated-uninfected volunteers suggested that IgG specific for the V1V2 region of gp120 was associated with reduced risk of HIV-1 infection and that plasma Env IgA was directly correlated with infection risk. RV144 and recent NHP challenge studies suggest that Env is essential and perhaps sufficient to induce protective antibody responses against mucosally acquired HIV-1. Whether RV144 immune correlates can apply to different HIV vaccines, to populations with different modes and intensity of transmission, or to divergent HIV-1 subtypes remains unknown. Newer prime-boost mosaic and conserved sequence immunization strategies aiming at inducing immune responses of greater breadth and depth as well as the development of immunogens inducing broadly neutralizing antibodies should be actively pursued. Efficacy trials are now planned in heterosexual populations in southern Africa and MSM in Thailand. Although NHP challenge studies may guide vaccine development, human efficacy trials remain key to answer the critical questions leading to the development of a global HIV-1 vaccine for licensure.
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\end{abstract}

1. Introduction

According to the UNAIDS, 35 million people were living with HIV-1 at the end of 2013, the vast majority being in Sub-Saharan Africa, with however dynamic epidemics in Asia \cite{1}. Worldwide the number of people including children with new HIV-1 infections fell by 38\% since 2001 \cite{2}. Success in prevention programs can be ascribed to the strengthening and scaling-up of antiretroviral treatment along with existing and new prevention methods \cite{3–6}. New prevention strategies include pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) \cite{7–10}, antiretroviral treatment for prevention \cite{10,11}, and topical microbicides \cite{12,13}.

Waning benefits of behavioral risk reduction for HIV-1, cultural opposition to male circumcision, still limited coverage of antiretroviral treatment, low adherence to PrEP \cite{14}, absence of PrEP efficacy in African women \cite{15}, and legal sanctions for sex worker and homosexuals may counterbalance the benefits achieved so far \cite{16}. Although new HIV-1 infections fell worldwide without HIV-1 vaccine intervention, critical exceptions to these trends are HIV epidemics among key populations such as people who inject drugs, young women and adolescents in southern sub-Saharan Africa, and young men who have sex in men (MSM) \cite{17–19}, and the increasingly complex HIV-1 genetic diversity \cite{20,21}. Taken together, these considerations justify aggressive pursuit development of a globally cost-effective HIV-1 vaccine against several HIV-1 strains and routes of transmission. The different HIV-1 vaccine approaches \cite{22} and lessons learned from clinical trials \cite{23} have recently been reviewed.

2. What works and what doesn’t for protection against HIV-1 acquisition

Both antibodies and cell-mediated immune responses are thought to be important to prevent HIV-1 infection \cite{24} in the mucosal compartment, the entry point for sexual transmission \cite{25}. Table 1 summarizes the key animal challenge studies conducted with HIV-1 and SIV vaccines. Data from three non-human primate (NHP) studies \cite{26–28} suggest that Env is a necessary component for successful protection from SIV acquisition. Two approaches are currently being pursued to elicit Env-specific antibody-mediated
**Table 1**

Key non-human primate and humanized mouse challenge studies of HIV-1 and SIV vaccines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccines</th>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Immune responses and efficacy</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALVAC-SIV/gp120 in alun or MF59</td>
<td>Intrarectal SIVmac251</td>
<td>• Alum protected macaques from SIVmac251 acquisition while MF59 did not despite its ability to elicit higher systemic T-cell and antibodies responses &lt;br&gt; • Alum, in contrast, increased the frequency of plasmablasts expressing the mucosal integrin α4β7 that positively correlated with IgA responses to cyclic V2 in rectal mucosa &lt;br&gt; • In the alun group mucosal IgG to cyclic V2 correlated with lower risk SIVmac251 acquisition</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/Ad5 mosaic Gag or mosaic heterologous envelope (Env) or heterologous Env based on a natural SIVmac239</td>
<td>Intrarectal SIVsmE660</td>
<td>• SIVmac239 Env provided significant protection against acquisition, whereas mosaic Env immunization did not achieve significance &lt;br&gt; • No difference in acquisition between Gag-immunized animals and control animals &lt;br&gt; • For protection against acquisition, vaccine efficacy was 69% for SIVmac239 Env &lt;br&gt; • Best control of acute VI in the mosaic Env arm, whereas mosaic Gag arm showed the best long-term control &lt;br&gt; • Antibodies to the SIV envelope are necessary and sufficient to prevent infection</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAV carrying b12, or 2G12, or 4E10 or 2F5 in humanized mice</td>
<td>Intravenous HIV-1</td>
<td>• Full protection with AAV carrying b12 &lt;br&gt; • Full protection with AAV carrying 2G12, or 4E10 or 2F5</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAV carrying VRC07 in humanized mice Ad25/Ad5, Ad35/Ad5, Ad5/Ad5 expressing SIVmac239 Gag</td>
<td>Intravaginal HIV-1</td>
<td>• Ad25/Ad5 regimen elicited a mean of 8.6 Gag epitopes per animal, whereas Ad35/Ad5 elicited a mean of 4.5 epitopes per animal and Ad5/Ad5 a mean of only 2.2 epitopes per animal &lt;br&gt; • Ad25/Ad5 reduced peak and setpoint viral load by 1.43 and 2.6 log and AIDS-related mortality as compared with controls</td>
<td>[122]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trivalent replication-competent rAd5 vaccine expressing SIVmac239 Gag, Pol, and Nef</td>
<td>Intrarectal SIVsmE660</td>
<td>• SIV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ responses by IFN-γ and ICS &lt;br&gt; • No efficacy on SIV acquisition or viral load</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronically infected macaques with rAd5 SIVmac239 Gag, Pol, Nef</td>
<td>Penile SIVmac251</td>
<td>• CD8+ T-cell responses in 70% of the animals &lt;br&gt; • No protection</td>
<td>[136]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIVmac239 Env and Gag-Pol DNA prime/rAd5 boost</td>
<td>Intrarectal SIVmac251 and SIVsmE660</td>
<td>• No protection against SIVmac251 infection, 50% of vaccinated monkeys protected from SIVsmE660 &lt;br&gt; • One-log reduction in peak plasma virus RNA in Mamu-A<em>01 monkeys &lt;br&gt; • In Mamu-A</em>01–negative monkeys challenged with SIVsmE660, no CD8+ T-cell response or innate immune response was associated with protection against virus acquisition &lt;br&gt; • Low levels of neutralizing antibodies and an envelope-specific CD4+ T-cell response were associated with vaccine protection in these monkeys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad26/MVA and Ad26/Ad35 expressing mosaic HIV-1 Env, Gag, and Pol</td>
<td>Intrarectal SHIV-SF162P3</td>
<td>• Protection against SHIV-SF162P3 acquisition correlated with Nab titers against SF162 and ADCF, and trend with ADCF C3b complement deposition &lt;br&gt; • No correlation with cyclic V2 peptides or gp70 V1V2 antibodies or any measure of CD8+ T lymphocytes &lt;br&gt; • Modest effect on viral set point post-challenge likely linked to central memory T-cell phenotype</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/MVA, MVA/MVA, Ad26/MVA, and MVA/Ad26 SIVsm453 Gag-Pol and Env</td>
<td>Intrarectal SIVmac251</td>
<td>• Ad26/MVA and the MVA/Ad26 required three challenges to infect 50% of animals in each group, whereas only one challenge was required to infect 50% of animals in the control group &lt;br&gt; • The regimens resulted in at least 2.32 and 1.08 log reductions of mean setpoint viral load, respectively &lt;br&gt; • Protection against acquisition of infection correlated with Env-specific binding ELISA antibodies, Tier-1 neutralizing, and V2-specific binding antibodies</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIVconsy synthetic long peptides adjuvanted (S) alone or DNA (D): SSS or DDSS regimens</td>
<td>Intrarectal SIVmac251</td>
<td>• Strong polynfucntional CD4+ T-cell and modest CD8+ T-cell responses of central memory T-cell phenotype &lt;br&gt; • SIVconsy-specific antibody responses were induced capable of recognizing the Env glycoprotein &lt;br&gt; • 2/6 DDSS animals protected against infection, while all 14 animals in the SSS and 2 control groups infected &lt;br&gt; • Vaccine induced SIV--specific IgG responses in mucosal washes pre-challenge were highest in the two protected animals</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication-competent rhesus cytomegalovirus expression SIV Gag, Rev/Tat/Nef, Env and Pol</td>
<td>Intrarectal, intrarectal, and intravenous SIVmac239</td>
<td>• Protection of 50% of vaccinated animals &lt;br&gt; • Complete clearance of SIV in protected animals &lt;br&gt; • Efferct memory SIV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell responses to all SIV inserts, but little to no SIV Env-specific antibody responses</td>
<td>[152,153]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Vaccines that induce non-neutralizing antibodies

The renewed interest in nonneutralizing antibodies (nNAbS) was prompted by the results of several HIV-1 vaccine efficacy trials and plausible mechanisms of action of nNAbS in protection against HIV-1 acquisition have recently been reviewed [31,32]. Neutralization is defined here in the standard TZM-bl pseudovirus assay [33].

Monomeric gp120 HIV-1 envelope proteins alone failed to protect high-risk volunteers against infection or disease progression in two previous efficacy trials, Vax003 [34] and Vax004 [35–37]. Vax004 tested AIDSVAX® B/B, a bivalent recombinant HIV-1 subtype B (GNE8 and MN) gp120 envelope glycoprotein subunit vaccine in US MSM and women at high risk for heterosexual transmission of HIV-1 [35]. High NAb levels against the easy-to-neutralize MN strain were however significantly inversely correlated with HIV-1 incidence while low levels against more-difficult-to-neutralize viruses did not, suggesting that level and breadth of elicited NAb were not sufficient for protection [36]. Interestingly, the level of vaccine-induced antibody-dependent cellular virus inhibition activity (ADCVI) correlated inversely with the rate of acquiring HIV-1 infection following vaccination. Moreover, ADCVI activity correlated poorly with NAb or CD4-gp120-blocking antibody activity measured.

Vax003 tested AIDSVAX® B/E, a bivalent recombinant HIV-1 subtype B/E (A244 CRF01_AE and MN subtype B) gp120 envelope glycoprotein subunit vaccine in injecting drug users in Bangkok, Thailand. Antibody levels for gp120, A244 V2, A244 V3, blocking of A244 binding to CD4, and MN neutralization were not associated with infection risk [34].

In RV144 [reviewed in [38]], consisting of ALVAC-HIV (vCP1521) prime and AIDSVAX® gp120 B/E boost the modified intent-to-treat analysis showed an estimated 31.2% efficacy after 42 months [39,40], 60% at 12 months and 44% at 18 months post first vaccination, suggesting an early, but nondoable, vaccine effect [41]. NAb were observed against Tier-1 laboratory strains and was modulated by FcR polymorphisms [42]. CD4+ T cell-mediated, IFN-γ ELISPOT positive responses were detected in 41% of the vaccinees and targeted the HIV-1 Env region, with 60% of vaccinees recognizing peptides derived from the Env V2 region, which includes the α4β7 integrin binding site [43,44]. MN and A244 Env binding antibodies were present in nearly all vaccinees, but dropped 15-fold after 6 months. Antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) in vaccine recipients and ADCC-mediating monoclonal (mAb) antibodies from vaccinees have been described [45,46].

The RV144 trial permitted a case-control study of correlates of risk for HIV acquisition. Plasma IgG binding antibody to scaffolded gp70 V1V2 CaseA2 envelope protein (HIV-1 subtype B) correlated inversely with risk, while Env plasma IgA correlated directly with risk. Neither low levels of V1V2 antibodies nor high levels of Env-specific IgA antibodies were associated with higher rates of infection than in the placebo group, ruling out the possibility of vaccine-induced enhancement. In vaccinees with low levels of Env-specific IgA antibodies, IgG avidity, ADCC, NAb to easy-to-neutralize viruses, and Env-specific CD4+ T cells, were inversely correlated with risk of infection [47–49]. RV144 antibodies to subtype A, C, and CRF01_AE gp70 V1V2 scaffolded proteins also correlated inversely with risk [50], suggesting that the RV144 regimen might protect against heterosexual transmission of HIV strains heterologous (A and C) to the vaccine components. Two weeks post last vaccination 97% of RV144 studied plasma samples from vaccine recipients contained antibodies to V2 region synthetic peptides, falling to 19% at 48 weeks, suggesting that waning vaccine efficacy may be correlated to waning V2 antibody response.

The response to V3 CRF01_AE also inversely correlated with the risk of HIV infection in vaccine recipients with lower levels of Env-specific plasma IgA and neutralizing antibodies. In Vax003 and Vax004 (no protection), serum IgG responses targeted the same epitopes as in RV144 with the exception of an additional C1 reactivity in Vax003 and infrequent V2 reactivity in Vax004. These results along with a recent sieve analysis [51] generate the hypothesis that IgG to linear epitopes in the V2 and V3 regions of gp120 are part of a complex interplay of immune responses that contributed to protection in RV144 [52]. Correspondingly, in a SLV/m251 low dose intrarectal challenge study of rhesus macaques, protection by an Ad26-MVA Gag-Pol-Env vaccine combination was associated with requirement for Env immunogen, anti-Env binding antibody, and V2 specific antibody responses [26]. In the Step and Phambili HIV-1 vaccine trials [53,54], the MRKAd5 HIV-1 Gag/Pol/Env vaccine did not induce Env-specific antibody, and in HVTN 505 [55], the DNA/rAd5 Gag-Pol-Env HIV-1 vaccine regimen induced significantly lower levels of anti-V1V2 antibody, comparatively to RV144.

It was hypothesized that RV144 vaccine-induced antibodies to Env-V1V2 could selectively prevent HIV-1 infections by certain variants, and that this effect would be evident in the V1V2 region of breakthrough viruses. The sieve analysis examined the relationship between vaccine status and V1V2 sequence characteristics using HIV-1 sequences from breakthrough infections. Two vaccine-associated genetic signatures were identified in V2 corresponding to sites 169 and 181, further supporting the hypothesis that vaccination-induced immune responses directed against the V2 loop were associated with protection [56]. Monoclonal antibodies from RV144 vaccine recipients contact the V2 K169 residue, providing additional evidence that vaccine-induced antibodies correspond to the observed sieve effect. These V2-specific antibodies can mediate ADCC, neutralization of easy-to-neutralize viruses, and low-level virus capture [57,58]. In RV144, Env IgG3 was correlated with decreased risk of HIV infection, a response that declined rapidly compared to overall IgG responses [59,60]. A comparison of RV144 and Vax003 showed that Env-specific IgG3 and V1/V2 IgG3 response rates were higher in recipients of the RV144 vaccine compared to Vax003 vaccinees and conversely that IgG4 were considerably lower in RV144. These findings generate the hypothesis that V2 IgG plays a role in protection against HIV-1 acquisition [61] but do not distinguish between a mechanistic or non-mechanistic mechanisms of protection [62].

The RV144 correlates of risk (CoR) analysis suggests that an increase in magnitude, affinity, breadth, and importantly in frequency and durability of V2- and V3-specific antibodies of IgG3 and IgG1 subclasses may confer a higher and more durable rate of protection against HIV-1 infection. The induction of cross-reactive V1V2 specific IgG raises the hypothesis of cross-clade protection. However, issues of magnitude and durability attendant the original RV144 regimen must be addressed to improve responses in order to optimize hypothesis testing in future trials.

Additional booster vaccinations may increase antibody levels. Residual antibody responses against gp120 were detected 6–8 years post vaccination in RV144 vaccinees. Additional boosts increased plasma IgG gp120 and gp70 V1/V2 antibodies at titers higher than the RV144 peak time point while weak gp120 IgA responses were induced [63]. These HIV-specific IgG antibodies were also detected in rectal secretions while IgA were undetectable [64]. Surprisingly, the late boosts given to RV144 volunteers increased VH mutation frequency from that seen following the
initial RV144 vaccine regimen (RV305 mean 5.50%; RV144 mean 2.60%) and expanded a population of antibodies with HCDR3 > 22 amino acids. Similar to V1V2 bNabs and other bNabs with long HCDR3s, these mAbs principally used D2/D3 and JH6 and are being characterized for neutralization capacity [65].

The use of potent adjuvants may also augment and shape antigen-specific antibody responses and contribute to antigen dose sparing. Several adjuvants have been tested in NHP and humans [66] showing a significant benefit of HIV envelope proteins formulated with either MF59 [67] and AS01 [68,69]. In macaques, alum protected macaques from SIVmac251 acquisition while MF59 did not despite its ability to elicit higher systemic T-cell and antibody responses. Adjuvant-associated differences in the homing of plasmablasts and induction of key cellular signaling pathways may explain the acquisition effects [70]. The formulation of HIV-1 gp120 with L(MPLA) and alum induced significantly higher levels of neutralizing antibodies and T-cell lymphoproliferation compared to alum, MF59 or MPLA alone [71]; importantly antibodies to gp70 V1V2 (subtypes B, C and CRF01xAE) were induced more rapidly, to higher magnitude and with greater durability than alum-adjuvanted gp120 [71,72]. Formulation of antigens with solid nanoparticles may prolong the duration of antibody responses by increasing antigen deposition/retention locally in the tissues driving B-cell responses, enhancing dendritic cell antigen presentation [73], and development of CD4+ T-cell responses [74] that provide cytokines and signals required to initiate somatic hypermutation and affinity maturation for effective B-cell memory [75].

4. Broadly neutralizing antibodies

Unlike classical infectious diseases, such as mumps or rubella, natural HIV-1 infection does not induce protective immunity that eradicates (sterilizing) the virus or prevents progression to disease. Extending older studies [76–80], Harber et al. showed that cross-clade NAB responses are commonly induced in response to infection by any virus clade. Nonetheless, neutralization was significantly greater when the plasma clade matched the clade of the virus tested. Features of the gp120 V1V2 loop, in particular, length, net charge, and number of N-linked glycans, were associated with Env susceptibility and plasma neutralization potency in a manner consistent with neutralization escape being a force that drives viral diversification and plasma neutralization breadth [81]. The trimERIC HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein is composed of variable regions that are immunodominant and induce type-specific NABs of limited breadth, while the conserved regions such as the CD4 binding site are cryptic and poorly accessible to the immune system [82]; bNABs develop in roughly 20% of HIV-infected after 2–3 years, but these bNABs do not limit disease progression [83–87]. HIV-1 Env is covered with glycans that shield conserved epitopes from antibody recognition and evade the neutralizing antibody response [88]. An effective HIV-1 vaccine should therefore induce responses that differ qualitatively and quantitatively from that induced by natural infection, and able to cross-protect against various HIV-1 clades [89].

As a proof of concept, first and second generation bNABs protect against intravaginal SHIV challenge [90–92], requiring high concentrations (25–50 mg/kg). Enhanced bNABs confer protection at lower concentration (5 to <1 mg/kg) [93,94]. While the epitope specificity and putative germline sequences of the B-cell receptors of these antibodies have been defined, the challenge for epitope-based vaccine design is that only broadly conserved and exposed epitopes are suitable for vaccine targeting, but these epitopes, in their natural context, tend to elicit poor antibody responses [95]. When bNAB responses are elicited in the context of HIV infection, the corresponding broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (bNABs) tend to have uncommon features such as high levels of somatic mutations, insertions/deletions, long HCDR3 loops, post-translational modifications, polyreactivity, and rare structural motifs such as domain exchange [96–101]. Long HCDR3, though low in frequency, are present in the human mature naïve B-cell repertoire and generated by recombination during B-cell development [102]. One first step of the approach aims at fully defining the antibodies and epitopes associated with broadly HIV neutralization. This may dictate that immunogens and/or immunization protocols should be designed to increase antibody affinity maturation [103]. Alternatively, the high levels of somatic hypermutation may simply reflect the outcome of chronic antigen stimulation resulting from long-term HIV infection. Anti-Env antibodies from chronic infection in general, whether neutralizing or not, tend to have high levels of somatic hypermutation [104,105].

4.1. Designing trimeric HIV-1 envelopes

The challenge is to design, engineer and produce a pure stable envelope immunogen that mimics the antigenic profile of the functional envelope spike [95,106,107]. The first generation of HIV-1 gp120 immunogens were not, in general, well recognized by germline sequences of the PG9, PG16, and CH01 lineage bNABs and failed to elicit bNAB in animals as most of the unmutated germline precursors of the bNAB do not bind to HIV-1 spike proteins from most viral isolates. However, B cells producing bNAB precursors could not be recruited into immune responses by these immunogens (reviewed in [108]). Initially engineered trimeric envelope was unable to induce bNAB in animals [109]. Modification of the trimers including removal of individual glycans proximal to CD4-binding region [110], elimination of the glycosylation site near the gp41 loop [111], linker-stabilized gp140 trimeric envelopes [112] have resulted in improved immunogenicity but have not yielded the desired bNAB. The use of multivalent mixtures of natural HIV-1 subtype C envelope uncleaved trimers elicited higher magnitude NABs against Tier 1 viruses than individual trimers, but not against Tier 2 viruses [113]. A combination of mosaic envelopes tested increased the magnitude of NABs but not the breadth the response in macaques [114]. Ad26/MVA and Ad26/Ad35 regimens expressing mosaic Env immunogens were able to protect macaques against SHIV-SF162P3 challenge. Protection was correlated with binding nNABs (mosaic Env binding antibody, ADCP, and trend for antibody-dependent complement deposition), and with NAB titers against SF162 [27]. So far, no trimeric envelope induces bNAB in humans [83].

4.2. B-cell lineage vaccine design

Another approach is called B-cell lineage vaccine design and aims at engaging the naïve B-cell repertoire residing in bone marrow and secondary lymphoid tissues. Specifically, one or more clonally related bNAB must be isolated and an antibody lineage constructed through inference that links the mutated bNAB-producing cell to its naive, germline ancestor. Recombinant antibody technology would express members of that bNAB lineage in order to select HIV-1 envelope constructs that optimally bind them. These envelope constructs would be used as immunogens in a prime-boost to engage the naïve B cell in vivo and iteratively stimulate B-cell “evolution” until bNAB-producing cells are elicited [115]. Liao et al. reported the isolation, evolution and structure of a bNAB from an African donor followed from the time of infection. The mature antibody, CH103, neutralized approximately 55% of HIV-1 isolates, and its co-crystal structure with the HIV-1 envelope protein gp120 revealed a new loop-based mechanism of CD4-binding site recognition. Virus and antibody gene sequencing revealed concomitant virus evolution and antibody maturation. Notably, the unmutated
common ancestor of the CH103 lineage avidly bound the transmitted/founder HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein, and evolution of antibody neutralization breadth was preceded by extensive viral diversification in and near the CH103 epitope. These data describe the viral and antibody evolution leading to induction of a lineage of HIV-1 bNAbs, and provide insights into strategies to elicit similar antibodies by vaccination [116]. Doria-Rose et al. demonstrated that HIV-1 V1V2-directed neutralizing antibodies can develop relatively rapidly through initial selection of B cells with a long CDR3, and limited subsequent somatic hypermutation. Identifying features of antibodies able to engage naive B cells with such CDR3 is a critical step in design of vaccines targeting V1V2. Such antibodies could be screened for binding to the unmutated common ancestor versions of neutralizing antibodies as an indicator of the ability to engage an appropriate naive B cell receptor. This work also suggests that although an appropriate trimeric V1V2 construct may elicit neutralizing V1V2 antibodies, sequential immunogens that mirror viral evolution may be needed to drive the development of breadth [117].

Wild-type gp120 proteins lack detectable affinity for predicted germline precursors of VRC01-class bNAbs, making them poor immunogens to prime a VRC01-class response. Jardine et al. used computation-guided, in vitro screening to engineer a germline-targeting gp120 outer domain immunogen that binds to multiple VRC01-class bNAbs and their germline precursors. When multimerized on nanoparticles, this immunogen activates both germline and mature VRC01-class B cells [118]. Only nNAbs are elicited by Env immunization. In contrast to germline-reverted bNAbs, germline-reverted nNAbs recognize diverse recombinant Env s. In addition, nNAb B-cell progenitors become activated and internalize Env compared with bNAb B-cell progenitors. McGuire et al. show that rational immunogen modifications can reduce (and in certain cases eliminate) the activation of naive B cells that give rise to such nNAbs, while promoting the activation of naive B cells that give rise to germline-reverted VRC01-class bNAbs [103].

4.3. Will broadly neutralizing antibodies protect against HIV-1 in humans?

Whether bNAb will effectively confer protection against HIV acquisition in humans remains unknown. An alternative to inducing bNAb by vaccination with immunogens is to deliver these bNMAbs with viral vectors administered intramuscularly such as an adeno-associated virus (AAV) gene transfer vector expressing antibodies or antibody-like immunoadhesins having predetermined SIV specificity. SIV-specific molecules are endogenously synthesized in myofibers and passively distributed to the circulatory system. This approach generated long-lasting neutralizing activity in serum of macaques that observed complete protection against intravenous challenge with virulent SIVmac316 [119,120]. Similarly, full protection against intravenous HIV-1 challenge was observed in humanized mice receiving AAV carrying b12, while those receiving AAV carrying 2G12, 4E10 and 2F5 were partially protected [121]. Moreover, humanized mice receiving AAV carrying VRC07 were protected against repeated vaginal challenge with diverse HIV-1 strains [122]. An AAV vector carrying PG9 is now tested in a Phase I trial (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01937455).

5. Cell-mediated immune responses

The rationale for T-cell based vaccines has been recently reviewed [123–125]. T cell-based vaccine approaches have focused on three strategies: increasing the breadth of vaccine-induced responses [126] or increasing responses targeting only conserved regions of the virus [125] or using replication-competent viral vectors [127,128]. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) responses targeting specific HIV proteins, in particular Gag, have been associated with relative control of viral replication and elite control of viremia in vivo (reviewed in [124,129]). In a SIVmac251 intravenous challenge model, breadth of Gag CTL epitope recognition correlated with control of peak and setpoint viremia [130].

5.1. Natural HIV-1 sequences

The Step (HVTN 502/Merck 023) [53,131] and Phambili (HVTN 503) [54] vaccine trials explored for the first time whether cell-mediated immune response-inducing vaccines could prevent infection or reduce post-infection plasma viral load. The Merck vaccine (MRKAd5 HIV-1) used in these two trials was a mixture of replication-defective Ad5 vectors expressing HIV-1 gag, pol, and nef subtype B genes. The vaccine did not confer protection against HIV-1 acquisition or disease progression measured by post-infection setpoint viral load (reviewed in [22,23]). There were excess HIV-1 infections in the vaccine group but statistical significance was not seen in the primary study; post hoc follow-up of data from both Step and Phambili rAd5 trials has suggested increased risk of HIV-1 infection in vaccine recipients. A sieve analysis showed evidence of vaccine-elicted immune pressure on the founder virus though no specific CD8+ CTL recognizing that epitope could be identified [132]. Vaccinees with HLA alleles associated with HIV-1 control had a significantly lower mean viral load over time [133]. Interestingly, the most highly conserved epitopes were detected at a lower frequency, suggesting that stronger responses to conserved sequences may be as important as breadth for protection [134]. The outcome of the Step trial was recapitulated in an Indian rhesus macaque study where animals vaccinated with a regimen similar to that employed in the Step trial were not protected against a heterologous SIVsmE660 challenge [135]. Rhesus macaques chronically infected with a host-range mutant Ad5 and immunized with a rAd5 SIVmac239 Gag/Pol/Nef vaccine were challenged with a series of escalating dose penetrine exposures to SIVmac251. Despite inducing CD8+ T-cell responses in 70% of the monkeys the vaccine did not protect vaccinated animals from penile SIV challenge [136].

Aiming at inducing and improving breadth of both functional antibodies and cell-mediated responses [24] against three subtypes, a regimen with DNA vaccine prime composed of DNA plasmids encoding Gag, Pol, and Nef from HIV-1 subtype B and Env from subtypes A, B, and C and replication-defective rAd5–HIV–1 vaccine boost containing a mixture of four rAd5 vectors encoding the HIV-1 subtype B Gag-Pol and Env matching the DNA Env components was tested in the HVTN 505 vaccine efficacy trial. In contrast with MRKAd5 that did not contain an env gene, the vaccine contained three envelope genes. The vaccine regimen induced polyfunctional CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, multi-clade anti-Env binding antibodies, and NAb against easy to neutralize Tier 1 viruses. The Phase IIB trial (HVTN 506) was stopped for futility, showing no efficacy and no statistically significant effect on viral load and a non-significant excess of HIV infection in the vaccinated group [55]. This prime-boost vaccine regimen failed to protect NHP against SIVmac251 infection, but 50% of vaccinated monkeys were protected from infection with SIVsmE660 with about a one-log reduction in peak plasma virus RNA in Mamu-A*01-positive animals, suggesting a role of CTL in the control of SIV replication. However, low levels of NAb and envelope-specific CD4+ T-cell responses were associated with animal protection [137].

Other approaches with DNA prime and MVA boost have elicited broad and potent T-cell responses and deserve further development [138]. Interestingly, heterologous vector prime-boost regimens enhance immunity by increasing the magnitude, onset and multi-functionality of the insert-specific cell-mediated immune responses compared to homologous regimens [139].
5.2. Mosaic HIV-1 immunogens

Polyvalent mosaic immunogens derived by recombination of natural HIV-1 strains are designed to induce cellular immune responses that recognize genetically diverse circulating virus isolates. Increasing the breadth and depth of epitope recognition may contribute both to protection against infection by genetically diverse viruses and to the control of variant viruses that emerge as they mutate away from recognition by cytotoxic T lymphocytes [140].

Mosaic HIV-1 Gag, Pol and Env antigens expressed by Ad26 vectors markedly augmented both the breadth and depth without compromising the magnitude of antigen-specific T lymphocyte responses as compared with consensus or natural sequence HIV-1 antigens in rhesus monkeys [141]. As described above, Ad26/MVA and Ad26/Ad35 vector-based vaccines expressing HIV-1 mosaic Env, Gag, and Pol afforded protection against intraarectal SHIV-SF162P3 challenge, correlated with antibody responses, the vaccine regimens had only a modest effect on viral setpoint post-challenge [27]. In contrast, similar vector regimens expressing SIVsmE543 antigens afforded >2-log reductions of setpoint viral loads following heterologous SIVmac251 challenges [26]. However, a head-to-head comparison in humans of mosaic and natural sequences should deserve consideration.

5.3. HIV-1 conserved sequences

An alternative to multivalent wild-type or mosaic vaccines is the use of conserved element immunogens as a novel and effective strategy to broaden responses against highly diverse pathogens by avoiding decoy epitopes, while focusing responses to critical viral elements for which few escape pathways exist [126,142–144]. Priming with conserved elements boosted the complete immunogen induced broad cellular and humoral immunity focused on the conserved regions of the virus [145]. In contrast, full-length HIV-1 immunogens induced greater magnitude and comparable breadth of T-lymphocyte responses to conserved HIV-1 regions compared with conserved-region-only HIV-1 immunogens in rhesus monkeys [146].

Conserved sequences and immune responses have been characterized in animals [147,148], conferring partial protection against SIVmac251 in macaques [149]. In humans, a combination of DNA, ChAd63 and MVA vectors was found safe [150] and immunogenic, inducing high levels of effector T cells that recognized virus-infected autologous CD4+ cells. In vitro inhibition of HIV-1 replication was mediated by Gag- and Pol-specific effector CD8+ T cells targeting epitopes that are subdominant in natural infection [151].

5.4. Use of replicating vector

A replication-competent rhesus cytomegalovirus vaccine expressing SIV proteins induced and maintained high frequency of SIV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell effector memory (TEM) responses at extra-lymphoid sites without measurable antibody responses to SIV. Half of vaccinated monkeys showed a stringent control of three routes of transmission: intrarectal, intravaginal, or intravenous SIVmac239. The outcome of challenge was predicted by peak SIV-specific CD8+ TEM frequencies in peripheral blood pre-challenge [152,153].

Whether these new T cell-based vaccines (Table 1) will be transitioned to human efficacy trials is unknown, though the conservation of particular cytotoxic epitopes does make them good candidates for a global HIV-1 vaccine.

6. Conclusions

RV144 was the first HIV-1 vaccine trial to demonstrate efficacy against HIV-1 infection. In perspective, RV144 and recent NHP challenge studies suggest that Env is essential and perhaps sufficient to induce protective antibody responses against HIV-1 acquisition at the mucosal site of entry. Whether the CoR identified in RV144 can be extended to other populations with different modes and intensity of transmission and against increasing complex HIV-1 strain recombinations remains to be demonstrated. While the RV144 immunization strategy remains a priority for future efficacy trials, newer prime-boost mosaic and conserved sequence immunization strategies aiming at inducing immune responses or greater breadth and depth as well as the development of immunogens inducing broadly neutralizing antibodies should be actively pursued and tested in humans as soon as available. Efficacy trials are now planned in heterosexual populations in southern Africa and MSM in Thailand and possibly in China. Although NHP challenge studies may guide vaccine development, human efficacy trials remain key to answer the critical questions leading to the development of a global HIV-1 vaccine for licensure [154].
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neurovirulent lentiviral pseudovirions were used for neutralization assays. Neuronal cell lines were infected with pseudovirions at a multiplicity of infection of 100 or less, and supernatants were harvested 10 days post-infection. Neutralization was quantified by plaque reduction in indicator cell lines (5). Neutralization assays were performed in triplicate. Results are expressed as 50% neutralizing titers (50% NT50), defined as the reciprocal of the lowest virus dilution; the average of three replicates ±1 SD are reported. Neutralization titers are geometric means. One-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis. The level of significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

Characterization of 96-DF10 and PM-A2

The 96-DF10 strain was isolated from a patient with HIV-1 subtype DF infection in Indonesia in 1996. The 96-DF10 strain was genotyped by the HIV-1 subtyping tool of the HIV Sequencing Strategy of the International AIDS Society-USA (6). The subtype of the 96-DF10 strain was determined to be HIV-1 subtype DF. The PM-A2 strain was isolated from a patient with HIV-1 subtype A infection in Indonesia in 2011. The PM-A2 strain was genotyped by the HIV-1 subtyping tool of the HIV Sequencing Strategy of the International AIDS Society-USA (6). The subtype of the PM-A2 strain was determined to be HIV-1 subtype A.

Characterization of a set of purified antigens

A total of 20 purified antigens, including recombinant gp120 and gp41, were used for neutralization assays. These antigens included gp120 gp160 recombinant, gp120 trimeric gp120 monomeric gp160 monomeric gp41 gp41 fusion gp41 trimeric gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gp41 gp41 soluble gp41 gp41 trimeric gm
and adenovirus type 5 (Ad5) and then immunized with a replication-defective Ad5-based SIV gag/pol/nef vaccine recapitulates the results of the phase Ib/II Step trial of a similar HIV-1 vaccine. J Virol 2012;86:2239–50.


